



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,198	02/19/2004	Thomas A. Capritta	113.2172	4098
39682	7590	07/09/2007	EXAMINER	
THE TORPY GROUP, P.L.			LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW	
202 N. HARBOR CITY BLVD.				
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MELBOURNE, FL 32935			3782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/782,198	CAPRITTA, THOMAS A.
	Examiner Justin M. Larson	Art Unit 3782

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Justin M. Larson. (3) Mark R. Malek.

(2) Nathan J. Newhouse. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yu-Hsien, Simmons, Kessler.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner suggested that amending the claims to mention that the clip member was L-shaped, rigid, and non-hinged, or something to that effect, would define over Yu-Hsien which teaches a hinged, flexible clip. Mr. Malek mentioned adding the idea of a frangible connection between the cap and the clip to define over Simmons. Examiner pointed out that Kessler taught such a frangible connection and would still be combined with Simmons.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


NATHAN J. NEWHOUSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required